
From: [REDACTED]
Sent: 29/05/2019 12:14:00
To: planning@south-derbys.gov.uk; [REDACTED]
Cc:
Subject: 2018/0522 Stanton Barns Farm
Project: J2416
Description: Discharge of Planning and Building Control Conditions
Locaton: Stanton By Bridge
Client: Mrs M Howard

Dear Planning,

I wrote to Ann Garfield about Condition 7 of the above many months ago, and am guessing that the lack of response was because she had left, a fact which I only became aware of sometime later.

To quote from my message:

"You'll see from Sarah Whiteley's comments [consultation response] that the [archaeological] condition was only supposed to apply if the sunken midden area in the middle of the farmyard was to be filled in, so some reference back to the agent was required by SDDC, for confirmation. The plans suggested that it probably wasn't going to be filled in, but I wasn't 100 per cent certain when I did the appraisal. Matt King confirms, however, that it was never the intention to fill it in.

"The reason for the condition is that "features of interest will be recorded", but the midden is the only such feature that the condition was supposed to apply to [as Sarah Whiteley confirmed to me].

"It appears to me, therefore, that this condition has been incorrectly imposed, and I would appreciate your guidance on how it should be dealt with. If we have to apply to have it removed, then I suggest that the application should be free of charge, because the condition should not have been imposed in the first place."

Could you please advise whether in principle this condition can therefore be removed, and whether such an application may be made free of charge considering that the condition was incorrectly imposed? The Heritage Statement was a detailed one, so we consider that the buildings themselves have been adequately recorded.

An early reply would be appreciated, as the enquiry has been outstanding for a long time.

Regards,

[REDACTED]